# Model Health Check: Quick Reference Page

Capture the client's objectives and level of Casewise usage

- Client Context
  - Assess the Client's Maturity Level and Skills gaps
  - Assess current use of Casewise products and where any weakness may exist
- Communications Dimension
  - o How is the client publishing or communicating the model content?
  - o Is the client generally happy with their published output?

Review overall model structure and content

- Scope of the Model
  - Check Diagram Tree to get a sense of the Model's Structure
  - Is the model categorised into clear levels, domains, and views?
  - When published, how easy is it to navigate through the model from a Start diagram?
  - What Diagram Types are being used; do they support the model structure?
  - O Which Object Types are being used; which are redundant?
- Diagram Notation & Purpose
  - o Do diagrams have a specific purpose and role in the overall model structure?
  - Are diagrams of the same type consistent?
  - Do diagrams follow any specific notation and if so, do they do so correctly?
  - Are there too many Diagram Types (e.g. Duplication and redundancy)
- Diagram Structure & Readability
  - Check and evaluate the Diagram's layout
  - Check Diagram sizing (actual size)
  - o Check Diagram use of font and colour
  - Asses use of "chart junk" (are diagrams over-cluttered)?
  - Are there any Diagram "keys" and if so are they set up using separate Help objects?
- Data Quality
  - How many objects do not have a description
  - Does the model contain duplicate "Copy of" Objects?
  - Does the model contain many redundant object instances?
  - o Is the formatting for object properties fit-for-purpose?
  - o How are multi-line text fields formatted?
  - Are naming conventions meaningful and consistent with best practice?
  - Does use of Associations add value?

Assess the model's design and modeling standards in more detail

- Meta-Model: Initial Assessment
  - o Does the underlying meta-model support the model's objectives?
  - Is the meta-model over-complex?

- Could the meta-model benefit from being extended (e.g. new object types so as to bring greater clarity to the model)?
- User-Defined Object, Property, & Association Types
  - o Do Object Types have clear Names in both singular and plural form?
  - With associations between the same Object Type, do descriptors have clear direction?
  - Do Association Types have clear Names; can their target and source objects be easily identified?
  - Can use of categories be simplified or modified? Are they being used?
  - Are there many redundant user-defined Association Types?
  - Are there many redundant user-defined Property Types?
- Diagram Templates, Shape Regions, and Styles
  - o Is there a separate Template for each category of Diagram?
  - o Have all elements that make up a Template been considered?
  - Are there redundant Templates?
  - Are Shape regions adding value or do they just add clutter?
  - o Are there any obvious examples were Shape Regions can be used to add value?
  - Do Styles have clear names and are they being reused?
  - Are there lots of redundant Styles? Be wary of deleting Styles
- Supporting Reference Documentation
  - Have the templates & Modeling Standards been documented?
  - Do modeling standards cover object properties?
  - o Has the meta-model been documented?

## Review published output and applied use of the model

- Branding & Aesthetics
  - Does the published output have a logo and recognized web design pattern (e.g. inverted L)?
  - Does the published output use stylesheets?
  - Does the published output use Java scripts?
  - o Is the overall aesthetics of a professional standard?
  - Is their scope for improvement using CP scripting?
- Accessing the Model
  - Are the navigation links in top and\or left bar useful and do they accord with established design patterns?
  - How are users encouraged to enter the output (e.g. Index pages, Start diagrams)?
  - Are Index Pages useful, and can they be improved?
- Object Pages
  - O How are Object Pages laid out does the chosen style work?

- Can use of associations be improved?
- Page headings (are they meaningful to a user)?
- Are links to external resources set up correctly (avoid use of Browse button)
- Navigation & Orientation within the Model
  - Do Association Types use clear descriptors?
  - Does the use of Shape regions break drill-downs?
  - o Do categories support and reinforce the different levels in the model?
  - Do Categories help navigation and orientation in published output?
- Publishing: Sample Check for Common Problems
  - Check incorrect use of Object Links
  - o Check incorrect use of the Browse button in URL\Hyperlinks
  - Does the Navigation Shape region work as intended?
  - Check the z-index of Objects (Transparent Styles and Overlaps)
  - Check Diagram sizing (CP setting)
  - Do drill-downs have multiple child diagrams?
- Publishing: Sample Check for Added Useful Features
  - Check for or suggest added features (e.g. Feedback)
  - Can the display of the object's or diagram's Category be improved using CP Scripting?
  - Can the link to external documents be improved using CP Scripting?
  - Can the Object page layout be improved (e.g. alternative options or enhanced with CP Scripting)?

# Assess the client's use of Model Management

- Model Environment
  - Are the many redundant or old "sandpit" models
  - Establish how many models are being used and how these are being managed and governed?
- Users & Access Rights
  - Have Access Rights and a Power Levels been set up?
  - Have models been left locked?
  - Are Freeze Options being used?
- Model Management
  - o Is the meta-model actively managed?
  - O What tools or techniques are used to manage the models?
- Model Management in a Multi-Model Environment
  - Is the customer using Auto Modeler to aid their data management?
  - Are object instances duplicated across multiple models?
  - o In a multi-model environment, are meta-models aligned?
  - Does the customer fully understand UUIDs and how these work in Import and Export?
- Data Governance
  - Are any properties used to show governance data?

- o How are changes managed and recorded?
- Is data actively managed?
- What tools or techniques are used to manage data?
- o Is there a Design Authority or other forum for managing the data?

## Establish real world context: methods, techniques, and business relevance

- Business Process Management \ Process Maturity
  - o Is there a commitment to Process Management over silo-based Management?
  - Are there designated Process Owners; if so, what do they do?
  - Is there a commitment to developing Process Maturity and are current Processes scored?
  - Is there any sense of active Stakeholder Management
- Methodologies and Best Practice
  - o What Methods \ Methodologies are being used?
  - Are they working with As Is and To Be states?
- Services to the Business
  - Do the Team offer Internal Consulting Services?
  - O What, if any, practical real-world uses of the model are there?

#### Explore wider possibilities and other tools

- Interactivity, Integration, and Automation
  - Interactive Governance
  - Workflow and automation
  - Third-party Integration
- Advanced analysis options
  - o Simulation
  - CMI
- Non-technical Consulting
  - o N/A